IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

CASE NO. 6:08cv030-LED

Plaintiff,

Jury Demanded

vs.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., a California corporation; CISCO-LINKSYS, L.L.C., a California Limited Liability Company; ADTRAN, INC., a Delaware corporation; ENTERASYS NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware corporation; EXTREME NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware corporation; FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware corporation; NETGEAR, INC., a Delaware corporation; 3COM CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation;

Defendants.

Plaintiff Network-1's Proposed Verdict Form

VERDICT FORM

Question A: Infringement and Willfulness

Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Cisco")

A1. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Cisco has directly infringed the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for Cisco.) Claim 6: ____ Yes ____ No Claim 9: ____ Yes ____ No Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Cisco has A2. actively induced others to infringe the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for Cisco.) ____ Yes ____ No Claim 6: ____ Yes ____ No Claim 9: A3. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Cisco has contributed to the infringement of the '930 patent by others? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for Cisco.) Claim 6: ____ Yes ____ No Claim 9: ____ Yes ____ No

A4. Has Network-1 proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that Cisco's
infringement (if found above) was willful? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding
for Cisco.)
Yes No
Cisco-Linksys, L.L.C. ("Linksys")
A5. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Linksys has
directly infringed the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for
Linksys.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
A6. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Linksys has actively induced others to infringe the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for Linksys.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
A7. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Linksys has
contributed to the infringement of the '930 patent by others? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1.
"No" is a finding for Linksys.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No

A8. Has Network-1 proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that Linksys's
infringement (if found above) was willful? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding
for Linksys.)
Yes No
Adtran, Inc. ("Adtran")
A9. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Adtran has
directly infringed the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for
Adtran.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
A10. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Adtran has
actively induced others to infringe the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a
finding for Adtran.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
A11. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Adtran has
contributed to the infringement of the '930 patent by others? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1.
"No" is a finding for Adtran.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No

Enterasys Networks, Inc. ("Enterasys")

A12. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Enterasys has
directly infringed the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for
Enterasys.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
A13. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Enterasys has
actively induced others to infringe the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a
finding for Enterasys.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
A14. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Enterasys has
contributed to the infringement of the '930 patent by others? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1.
"No" is a finding for Enterasys.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
A15. Has Network-1 proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that Enterasys's

infringement (if found above) was willful? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding

for Enterasys.)

Yes No
Extreme Networks, Inc. ("Extreme")
A16. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Extreme has
directly infringed the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for
Extreme.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
A17. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Extreme has
actively induced others to infringe the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a
finding for Extreme.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
A18. Has Network-1 proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Extreme has
contributed to the infringement of the '930 patent by others? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1.
"No" is a finding for Extreme.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
A19. Has Network-1 proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that Extreme's infringement (if found above) was willful? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding

for Extreme.)

Case 6:08-cv-00030-LED Document 363-1 Filed 05/27/10 Page 7 of 12

A23. Has Network-1 prove	en, by clear and convincing evidence, that Foundry's
infringement (if found above) was v	willful? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding
for Foundry.)	
Yes No	
3Com Corporation ("3Com"	<u>'</u>
A24. Has Network-1 prove	en, by a preponderance of the evidence, that 3com has
directly infringed the '930 patent?	("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for
3com.)	
Claim 6: Yes	No
Claim 9: Yes	No
A25. Has Network-1 prove	en, by a preponderance of the evidence, that 3com has
actively induced others to infringe t	he '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a
finding for 3com.)	
Claim 6: Yes	No
Claim 9: Yes	No
A26. Has Network-1 prove	en, by a preponderance of the evidence, that 3com has
contributed to the infringement of the	he '930 patent by others? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1.
"No" is a finding for 3com.)	
Claim 6: Yes	No
Claim 9: Yes	No

A27. Has Network-1 proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that 3com's
infringement (if found above) was willful? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding
for 3com.)
Yes No
Question B: Validity
B1. Have the Defendants proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the
claims of the '930 patent are invalid as anticipated by the prior art? ("Yes" is a finding for the
Defendants. "No" is a finding for Network-1.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
B2. Have the Defendants proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the claims of the '930 patent are <u>invalid</u> for obviousness in view of the prior art? ("Yes" is a finding for the Defendants. "No" is a finding for Network-1.) Claim 6: Yes No Claim 9: Yes No
B3. Have the Defendants proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the claims of the '930 patent are <u>invalid</u> for failing to name the correct inventors? ("Yes" is a
finding for the Defendants. "No" is a finding for Network-1.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No

B4. Have the Defendants proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the
claims of the '930 patent are invalid for lack of an adequate written description? ("Yes" is a
finding for the Defendants. "No" is a finding for Network-1.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
B5. Have the Defendants proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the
claims of the '930 patent are <u>invalid</u> as not enabled? ("Yes" is a finding for the Defendants.
"No" is a finding for Network-1.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
B6. Have the Defendants proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the
claims of the '930 patent are <u>invalid</u> for failure to describe the inventor's best mode of carrying
out the invention? ("Yes" is a finding for the Defendants. "No" is a finding for Network-1.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No

Question C: Damages

If you have found that a Defendant has infringed either claim 6 or 9 of the '930 and that claim is not invalid, then you must determine what measure of damages to award Network-1 for that Defendants' infringement and set forth that award below.

<u>Cisco</u>

C1. What total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proven it is entitled to from Cisco? Write in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:

Linksys

C2. What total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proven it is entitled to from Linksys? Write in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:

<u>Adtran</u>

C3. What total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proven it is entitled to from Adtran? Write in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:

Enterasys

C4. What total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proven it is entitled to from Enterasys? Write in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:

<u>Extre</u>	<u>me</u>
C5.	What total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proven it is entitled to from
Extreme? W	rite in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:
<u>Foun</u>	<u>dry</u>
C6.	What total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proven it is entitled to from
Foundry? W	rite in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:
<u>3Con</u>	<u>1</u>
C7.	What total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proven it is entitled to from
3Com? Writ	e in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:
SIGNATUR	<u>E</u>
DATE	FOREPERSON